# ECE544: Fault-Tolerant Computing & Reliability Engineering



Lecture #11– <u>Reliability Block Diagrams (RBD)</u>

Instructor: Dr. Liudong Xing Fall 2022

# Administrative Issues (10/19, Wed.)

- Project meeting (in-person or virtual)
  - Due by Oct. 28, Friday
- Today's topics
  - Midterm exam solution discussion
  - Midsemester survey
  - Lecture#11 (RBD)

Dr. Xing

2

1

### Review of Lecture #9

- Fault tree is not a tree in the graph-theoretic sense; it provides a logical framework for expressing combinations of component failures that can lead to system failure
- Top-down construction of fault trees provides a systematic method for analyzing and documenting the potential causes of system failure
- Qualitative analysis of fault trees based on cutsets can identify the single-point failures and system vulnerability
- Quantitative analysis of fault trees using cutsets
  - Inclusion/Exclusion (I/E)
  - Sum of Disjoint Products (SDP)
  - SDP is more efficient than I/E

#### Dr. Xing

3

# Topics

- Reliability block diagrams (RBD)
  - Basic concepts
  - Series structure vs. parallel structure
  - RBD vs. Fault Trees
  - Path-sets vs. cut-sets







- A system that is functioning *iff* each component of the system is functioning
- A system containing no redundancy
- RBD of a general series system









## Parallel Structure (2): Reliability

- System reliability
  - C<sub>if</sub>(t): the event that component C<sub>i</sub> in the parallel system has failed at time t (independently)
  - $Q_i(t)/R_i(t)$ : unreliability/reliability of component  $C_i$  at time t
  - $Q_{parallel}(t)/R_{parallel}(t)$ : unreliability/reliability of the parallel system

$$Q_{parallel}(t) = P\{C_{1f}(t) \cap C_{2f}(t) \cap \dots \cap C_{Nf}(t)\}$$

$$Q_{parallel}(t) = Q_1(t)Q_2(t)\dots Q_N(t) = \prod_{i=1}^N Q_i(t)$$

$$R_{parallel}(t) = 1.0 - Q_{parallel}(t) = 1.0 - \prod_{i=1}^{N} Q_i(t) = 1.0 - \prod_{i=1}^{N} (1.0 - R_i(t))$$



# Topics

- Reliability block diagrams
  - $\sqrt{\text{Basic concepts}}$
  - $\sqrt{}$  Series structure vs. parallel structure

#### - RBD vs. Fault Trees

– Path-sets vs. cut-sets







### Path-sets vs. Cut-sets (1)

- **Path-sets**: a set of components which by functioning ensures that the system is functioning
- **Minimal path-sets**: a path set is minimal if it cannot be reduced without losing its status as a path set
- **Cut-sets**: a set of components which by failing causes the system to fail
- Minimal cut-sets: a cut set is minimal if it cannot be reduced without losing its status as a cut set

#### Path-sets vs. Cut-sets (2)

- The designer's point of view: consider a designer who wants to ensure that a system is functioning with the least possible design effort. What the designer needs is a list of the minimal path sets
- The saboteur's point of view: consider a saboteur who wants to bring the system into a failed state with the least possible effort on his/her part. What the saboteur needs is a list of minimal cut sets



# Another Way to Generate Minimal Path-Sets

• Fault tree  $\rightarrow$  dual fault tree or

#### RBD $\rightarrow$ fault tree $\rightarrow$ dual fault tree

- The dual fault tree is obtained by replacing all AND gates in the original fault tree with OR gates, and vice versa; and letting the events in the dual fault tree be complements of the corresponding events in the original fault tree
- Apply the same procedure (top-down algorithm) as was described for generating minimal cutsets to the dual fault tree → minimal path sets!
- Example: Find the minimal path sets for RBD-Ex1 using the dual fault tree approach





# Quantitative Analysis based on Minima Cut-sets (Review)

• System failure is the probability that all of the basic events in one or more mincuts occur

 $Pr{System \ Failure} = Pr{\bigcup_i C_i}$ 

• Inclusion/exclusion

$$Pr\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Pr\{C_{i}\}$$
$$- \sum_{i < j} Pr\{C_{i} \bigcap C_{j}\}$$
$$+ \sum_{i < j < k} Pr\{C_{i} \bigcap C_{j} \bigcap C_{k}\}$$
$$\mp \cdots$$
$$\pm Pr\{\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}\}$$

• Sum of disjoint product

 $Unreliablity = \Pr\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} C_i\}$ =  $P(C_1) + P(\overline{C_1}C_2) + P(\overline{C_1}\overline{C_2}C_3) + \dots + P(\overline{C_1}\overline{C_2}\overline{C_3}...\overline{C_{n-1}}C_n)$ 

Quantitative Analysis based on Minima Path-sets (Cont'd) **System Reliability** =  $\Pr\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} P_i\}$ • Inclusion/exclusion  $\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \ liablity &= \operatorname{Pr} \{ \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} \} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Pr} \{ P_{i} \} \\ &- \sum_{i < j} \operatorname{Pr} \{ P_{i} \cap P_{j} \} \\ &+ \sum_{i < j < k} \operatorname{Pr} \{ P_{i} \cap P_{j} \cap P_{k} \} \end{aligned}$ Ŧ.....  $\pm \Pr\{\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} P_{i}\}$ • Sum of disjoint product  $\operatorname{Re} \operatorname{liablity} = \operatorname{Pr} \{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} P_i\}$  $= P(P_1) + P(\overline{P_1}P_2) + P(\overline{P_1}\overline{P_2}P_3) + \dots + P(\overline{P_1}\overline{P_2}\overline{P_3}\dots\overline{P_{n-1}}P_n)$ 



# Summary of Lecture #11

- A RBD is a **success-oriented** network describing the function of the system
- In terms of modeling capability, RBD is equivalent to the static/traditional fault trees, and they can be converted into each other easily
- Path-sets and cut-sets can be generated from both RBD and fault trees
- I/E and SDP can be applied to the quantitative analysis based on both path sets and cut sets

#### Next topic:

Binary decision diagrams (BDD)